



BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

Office of the
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike
N.R Square, Bangalore

No: DCF/PR. 259/2023-24

Date: 10.08.2023

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

- Sub: Permission regarding Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the Project Area for **BMRCL Project**, Bengaluru – reg
- Ref: a. CE, BMRCL Application No. BMRCL/ORR/Ph-2A/P1/2023/172/3116 dtd 26.05.2023
- b. Member Secretary, TEC and ACF letter No. ACF-South/PR 23 /2023-24 dtd 09.08.2023 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

* * * * *

Preamble:

The Chief Engineer, BMRCL vide their letter cited under reference (a) above, has sought permission for clearance of 242 number of trees which are standing at the Project area at BMRCL acquired Defence Campus area, Ibbalur, Bengaluru for BMRCL project work of “**Construction of Elevated Structures (Viaduct & Station)**”, Bengaluru

As such Public Notice dated 09.06.2023 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In response to the public notice, no objections/suggestions have been received from public within the stipulated dates. The Tree Officer, BBMP has reported that even though no objections/suggestions have been received from the public, the procedures as stipulated under the Government Acts and Rules are being followed besides duly obeying the directives of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.

Further, the Tree Officer, BBMP also emphasized that the first priority of the Forest authorities will be to save and retain more number of trees at the spot/site and in case that is not possible, the next option would be translocation of such trees which fulfill the desired criteria and felling of the trees has to be last resort. The Compensatory Afforestation would involve planting of saplings duly following the norms of 10 saplings to be planted in lieu of each tree translocated/felled (i.e., in the ratio 1:10).

In this context, the Field Forest Officers, BBMP conducted the spot inspections on 02.06.2023, the ACF/DCF visited the areas on 15.06.2023, and then TEC visited the areas and conducted field Inspections on 22.06.2023, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 06.07.2023 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and its location so that the extraction of root ball of adequate size becomes feasible.
- iii. The felling of trees has to be the last resort and that has to be done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by BMRCL, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and detailed discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

ORDER

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report and Proceedings of the Meeting dated 06.07.2023 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which fall in the Project area at BMRCL acquired Defence Campus area, Ibbalur, Bengaluru, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

SCHEDULE

1. The Two (02) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure A have to be retained-on-site. Hence permission is declined to remove the above said 02 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.
2. Based on the considerations as stated above and also detailed in the Report, the Seven (07) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 07 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
3. The remaining Two Hundred and Thirty Eight (238) trees only which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed. Hence permission is accorded for removal of these said 238 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

Conditions

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly BMRCL should give an assurance in this respect.
3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP. As per your letter cited under ref. (c), no other developmental activity has to be carried out in the following proposed areas for translocation of trees

CMP Centre Training Area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Ibbalur, Bengaluru

4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
7. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
8. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.
9. In lieu of the trees translocated and felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of each tree either translocated or felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be submitted for saplings/seedlings planted.
10. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.



Tree Officer and

Deputy Conservator of Forests
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,
Bengaluru

Copy to:

1. The Chief Engineer, ORR, Phase 2A (Package 01), BMRCL, Bengaluru
2. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
3. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
4. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
5. The Range Forest Officer/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
6. Office Copy

Retention of Trees

Project Area: BMRCL acquired Defense Campus area, Ibbalur, Bengaluru for the Construction of Elevated Structures (Viaduct & Stations)

| Sl No. | Tree No | Species Name | Girth (Mtr) | Full Height (Mtr) | Justification |
|--|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| 1 | UN 02 | Subabul | 0.85 | 3.00 | The tree is Standing outside the project activity area. Hence it is recommended for retention. |
| 2 | UN 03 | Subabul | 1.00 | 3.00 | The tree is Standing outside the project activity area. Hence it is recommended for retention. |
| Total trees for Retention = 02 Nos. | | | | | |


Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore

Annexure-B

Translocation of Trees

Project Area: BMRCL acquired Defense Campus area, Ibbur, Bengaluru for the Construction of Elevated Structures (Viaduct & Stations).

| Sl No. | Tree No | Species Name | Girth (Mtr) | Full Height (Mtr) | Justification |
|--|---------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| 1. | 60 | Cassia siamea | 0.55 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 2. | 96 | Sihi hunase | 0.90 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 3. | 143 | Cassia siamea | 0.90 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 4. | 170 | Cassia siamea | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 5. | 178 | Cassia siamea | 0.52 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 6. | 195 | Cassia siamea | 0.52 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| 7. | UN 05 | Dalichandra | 0.20 | 3.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). No major defective symptoms are observed and the tree is recommended for translocation. |
| Total trees for Translocation = 07 Nos. | | | | | |


Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore

Felling of Trees

Project Area: BMRCL acquired Defense Campus area, Ibbalur, Bengaluru for the Construction of Elevated Structures (Viaduct & Stations).

| Sl No. | Tree No | Species Name | Girth (Mtr) | Height (Mtr) | Justification |
|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| 1. | 1 | Honge | 1.50 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is matured, multiforked with weak branch union and decayed branches. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 2. | 2 | Subabul | 0.45 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is partially dried with defoliated canopy. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 3. | 3 | Subabul | 0.50 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is standing close to existing boundary wall, prone for constricted roots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 4. | 4 | Subabul | 0.60 | 5.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is standing close to existing boundary wall, prone for constricted roots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 5. | 5 | Gulmohar | 0.40 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is standing close to existing boundary wall, prone for restricted / constricted roots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 6. | 6 | Nerale | 3.00 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is matured with severe secondary infestors and with advance decay / rot symptoms. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 7. | 7 | Subabul | 1.10 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation), forked with weak branch union (accumulated bark). The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 8. | 8 | Halasu | 0.75 0.65 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union and the branches are pollarded with young shoots sprouting beneath the cut portion. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 9. | 9 | Honge | 2.40 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation), with decayed branches standing close to a trench. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-------------------|--------------|-------|---|
| 10. | 10 | Subabul | 0.62 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union, bent and with partially dried branches. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | 10A | Subabul | 0.55 | 11.00 | |
| 11. | 11 | Melia azadirachta | 0.66 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing along an existing trench and the roots of the tree are partially exposed (not feasible for excavation and relocation). The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 12. | 12 | Casuarina | 2.80 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured and partially dried. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 13. | 13 | Nerale | 2.30 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 14. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 14. | 14 | Neem | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) with dieback symptom and the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 15. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 15. | 15 | Honge | 0.80 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union and standing close to a trench, thereby compromising the tree protection zone. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | | | 0.75 | | |
| | | | 0.60 | | |
| 16. | 16 | Subabul | 0.70 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent with defoliated symptom. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 17. | 17 | Honge | 1.00 0.70 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation), forked with weak branch union and decayed trunk. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 18. | 18 | Subabul | 0.70 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and the canopy is partially dried. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 19. | 19 | Neem | 1.70 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with conspicuous dieback symptom. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | 19A | Neem | 1.00 | 9.00 | |
| 20. | 20 | Honge | 1.14 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union and canker symptom. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | 20A | Honge | 0.86 | 10.00 | |
| 21. | 21 | Honge | 1.00 0.70 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union, noticeable canker symptom and secondary infestors. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---------|------------------------------|-------|--|
| 22. | 22 | Subabul | 0.90 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and the canopy is partially defoliated. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 23. | 23 | Subabul | 1.30 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and the canopy is partially dried and conjoined with tree no. 24. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 24. | 24 | Subabul | 0.84 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 23. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 25. | 25 | Honge | 1.20 1.05 1.00 0.85 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked with weak branch union and decay prone knots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 26. | 26 | Subabul | 0.73 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent with the surface roots exposed reducing the feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 27. | 27 | Honge | 1.00 0.90 0.80 0.60 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked with weak branch union and pollarded. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 28. | 28 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent with restricted roots (probable, because the tree is standing close to concrete). The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 29. | 29 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and with restricted roots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 30. | 30 | Subabul | 0.50 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting the possibility of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 31. | 31 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting the possibility of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 32. | 32 | Honge | 2.20 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured, forked with decay prone knots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | 32A | Honge | 1.95 | 9.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|---------------|--------------|-------|---|
| 33. | 33 | Subabul | 1.16 | 14.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation), and canopy of the tree is with partially defoliated symptom. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 34. | 34 | Subabul | 1.35 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation), and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting the possibility of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 35. | 35 | Subabul | 0.76 | 10.00 | The tree is felled and hence categorised under felling. |
| | 35A | Subabul | 0.60 | 11.00 | |
| 36. | 36 | Subabul | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation). The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 37. | 37 | Subabul | 0.60 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is conjoined (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with adjacent tree no. 38. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 38. | 38 | Subabul | 0.60 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base of the tree is conjoined (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with adjacent tree no. 37. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 39. | 39 | Subabul | 1.44 | 15.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured, forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with weak branch union. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | 39A | Subabul | 1.60 | 15.00 | |
| 40. | 40 | Subabul | 0.60 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 41. | 41 | Cassia siamea | 0.50 0.40 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with weak branch union. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 42. | 42 | Subabul | 0.60 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 43. | 43 | Subabul | 0.80 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting the root ball excavation and feasibility for excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 44. | 44 | Subabul | 0.80 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----|---------|------|-------|---|
| | | | | | feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby further limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 45. | 45 | Subabul | 0.70 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby further limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 46. | 46 | Subabul | 0.80 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby further limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 47. | 47 | Honge | 0.50 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and the branch joints are with accumulated barks with weak branch union. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Honge | 0.40 | 10.00 | |
| | B | Honge | 0.40 | 8.00 | |
| | C | Honge | 0.60 | 8.00 | |
| | D | Honge | 0.50 | 7.00 | |
| | E | Honge | 0.40 | 6.00 | |
| 48. | 48 | Subabul | 1.14 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots are constricted. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.56 | 9.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 0.40 | 6.00 | |
| 49. | 49 | Subabul | 0.70 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is decayed at the base limiting the feasibility for healthy relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 50. | 50 | Subabul | 0.80 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation). The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 51. | 51 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 52. | 52 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 53. | 53 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----|---------------|------|-------|---|
| 54. | 54 | Subabul | 0.74 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with defective cracks. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 55. | 55 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and standing very close to tree no. 56 and further limiting the relocation process. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 56. | 56 | Subabul | 0.70 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and standing very close to tree no. 55 and further limiting the relocation process. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 57. | 57 | Subabul | 0.64 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 58. | 58 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 59. | 59 | Subabul | 0.50 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is topped (status at the time of inspection) and limiting the factor of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 60. | 61 | Neem | 0.58 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is with dieback symptom and recommended for felling. |
| 61. | 62 | Cassia siamea | 0.85 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and the branch joints are with accumulated barks with weak branch union. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Cassia siamea | 0.60 | 10.00 | |
| 62. | 63 | Honge | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and decayed further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 63. | 64 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and decayed further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----|---------|------|-------|--|
| 64. | 65 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 65. | 66 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 66. | 67 | Subabul | 0.55 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and with partially defoliated symptom, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 67. | 68 | Subabul | 1.10 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and with canker and partially defoliated symptom. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 68. | 69 | Subabul | 1.35 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked with weak branch union, limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 1.13 | 10.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | |
| | C | Subabul | 0.68 | 9.00 | |
| | D | Subabul | 0.57 | 9.00 | |
| 69. | 70 | Subabul | 0.60 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is dead and hence categorised under felling. |
| 70. | 71 | Subabul | 0.80 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 71. | 72 | Subabul | 0.80 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 72. | 73 | Subabul | 0.80 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.60 | 6.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----|-----------|------|-------|--|
| 73. | 74 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 74. | 75 | Rain tree | 0.80 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 75. | 76 | Rain tree | 0.90 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are constricted (probability) due to the concrete structures within the limits of tree protection zone, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 76. | 77 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 77. | 78 | Subabul | 0.90 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (78, 79, 80, and 81), thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 78. | 79 | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) standing within clusters of Subabul (78, 79, 80, and 81), thereby further limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 79. | 80 | Subabul | 0.90 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (78, 79, 80, and 81), thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 80. | 81 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (78, 79, 80, and 81), thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 81. | 82 | Subabul | 0.70 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 82. | 83 | Subabul | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----|---------------------------------|------|-------|---|
| | | | | | process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 83. | 84 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 84. | 85 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of relocation) and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 85. | 86 | Subabul | 0.50 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of relocation) and with defoliated symptom and further preventing the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 86. | 87 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of relocation) and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 87. | 88 | Subabul | 0.90 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The soil in the root ball zone / tree protection zone of the tree is not intact and hence restraining the excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 88. | 89 | Subabul | 0.90 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 89. | 90 | Subabul | 0.70 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 90. | 91 | Peltophorum | 0.70 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 91. | 92 | Bhilwara (Albizia odoratissima) | 1.00 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) with weak branch union, further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Bhilwara (Albizia odoratissima) | 1.30 | 8.00 | |
| | B | Bhilwara (Albizia odoratissima) | 1.30 | 10.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------|------|-------|--|
| 92. | 93 | Subabul | 1.30 | 13.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) with weak branch union and canker symptoms, further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.93 | 10.00 | |
| 93. | 94 | Sihi hunase | 1.00 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) with decay and canker symptoms, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 94. | 95 | Jungle | 0.90 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) with weak branch union, thereby further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Jungle | 0.70 | 7.00 | |
| | B | Jungle | 0.60 | 6.00 | |
| 95. | 97 | Subabul | 0.70 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 96. | 98 | Peltophorum | 0.50 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of relocation) and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 97. | 99 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of relocation) and the canopy is with partially defoliated leaves, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 98. | 100 | Subabul | 0.70 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 99. | 101 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed and the branches are with defoliated leaves, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 100. | 102 | Jungle | 1.10 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and the branches are with defoliation symptoms, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------|------|-------|--|
| 101. | 103 | Rain tree | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and with constricted (probable) roots as the tree is standing very close to concrete structure. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 102. | 104 | Subabul | 0.80 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 103. | 105 | Rain tree | 1.00 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and with constricted (probable) roots as the tree is standing very close to concrete structure. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 104. | 106 | Rain tree | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (for excavation and relocation) and with constricted (probable) roots as the tree is standing very close to concrete structure, thereby further limiting the process of excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Rain tree | 0.80 | 9.00 | |
| | B | Rain tree | 0.60 | 8.00 | |
| 105. | 107 | Sihi hunase | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and forked with weak branch union, thereby further limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Sihi hunase | 0.90 | 8.00 | |
| 106. | 108 | Acacia | 1.20 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and the trunk is with canker symptom, thereby further limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 107. | 109 | Subabul | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and the canopy is partially dried / defoliated, thereby further limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 108. | 110 | Subabul | 1.00 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with defective split / crack symptoms and standing very close to tree no. 111, limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----------|------|-------|---|
| 109. | 111 | Subabul | 1.00 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing very close to tree no. 110, limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 110. | 112 | Rain tree | 0.90 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are constricted (probability) due to the concrete structures within the limits of tree protection zone, thereby limiting prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 111. | 113 | Subabul | 1.00 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The canopy of the tree is partially dried / defoliated, thereby further limiting the process of healthy tree excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 112. | 114 | Subabul | 0.80 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 113. | 115 | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 116. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 114. | 116 | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 115. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.90 | 9.00 | |
| 115. | 117 | Subabul | 1.40 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and the trunk is partially decayed, further limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 116. | 118 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked and bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and the branches are with defoliated symptom, thereby further limiting the feasibility for healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.80 | 8.00 | |
| 117. | 119 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is conjoined with dead / decayed stump, thereby limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 118. | 120 | Subabul | 0.50 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing within clusters of Subabul (120, 121, and 122), thereby further restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|---------|------|-------|---|
| 119. | 121 | Subabul | 0.40 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing within clusters of Subabul (120, 121, and 122), thereby further restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 120. | 122 | Subabul | 0.30 | 5.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing within clusters of Subabul (120, 121, and 122), thereby further restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 121. | 123 | Subabul | 0.60 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 122. | 124 | Subabul | 0.80 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and the branches are partially defoliated, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 123. | 125 | Subabul | 0.80 | 8.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 124. | 126 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base of the trunk of the tree is conjoined with a dead snag, thereby restraining excavation of the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 125. | 127 | Subabul | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and base of the trunk of the tree is conjoined with a dead snag, thereby further restraining excavation of the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 126. | 128 | Subabul | 0.50 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and the tree is standing close to tree no. 129, thereby further restraining excavation of the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 127. | 129 | Subabul | 0.70 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is with defective symptom / defoliated branch and standing close to tree no. 130, thereby further restraining excavation of the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 128. | 130 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is with defective symptom / defoliated branch and standing close to tree no. 129, thereby further restraining excavation of the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------------|--------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | felling. |
| 129. | 131 | Subabul | 0.50 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby further restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 130. | 132 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 131. | 133 | Subabul | 0.70 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 132. | 134 | Subabul | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 133. | 135 | Subabul | 0.90 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 134. | 136 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process of excavation and relocation) and standing within clusters of Subabul (131, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 136), thereby further restraining excavation of prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 135. | 137 | Jungle (Bilijali) | 1.20 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured and thereby restraining prescribed root ball excavation and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 136. | 138 | Sihi hunase | 1.10 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and forked with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 137. | 139 | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and forked with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.90 | 9.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------------|------|-------|--|
| 138. | 140 | Subabul | 1.20 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (restraining excavation of prescribed root ball) and the branches are dried limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 139. | 141 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is defoliated and dried, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 140. | 142 | Jungle (Bilijali) | 1.00 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is defoliated / partially dried, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 141. | 144 | Subabul | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (restraining excavation of prescribed root ball) and bent, further limiting the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 142. | 145 | Subabul | 0.50 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 146, thereby limiting the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 143. | 146 | Subabul | 0.60 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 145, thereby limiting the process of relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 144. | 147 | Subabul | 0.80 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms (oozing of sap), thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 145. | 148 | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and the branches are with defoliated symptom, thereby further limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 146. | 149 | Subabul | 0.90 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is defoliated and dried, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 147. | 150 | Subabul | 1.10 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured limiting the process of excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 148. | 151 | Subabul | 0.70 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent limiting the process of excavation and relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 149. | 152 | Subabul | 1.00 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (with weak branch union) and matured limiting the process of |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|---------|------|-------|---|
| | A | Subabul | 0.90 | 10.00 | excavation and healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 150. | 153 | Subabul | 1.00 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (153, 154, 155, 156, and 157) and the roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 151. | 154 | Subabul | 0.91 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked, standing within clusters of Subabul (153, 154, 155, 156, and 157) and the roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.93 | 10.00 | |
| 152. | 155 | Subabul | 0.76 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (153, 154, 155, 156, and 157) and the roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 153. | 156 | Subabul | 0.36 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (153, 154, 155, 156, and 157) and the roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 154. | 157 | Subabul | 0.96 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing within clusters of Subabul (153, 154, 155, 156, and 157) and the roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 155. | 158 | Subabul | 0.62 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 156. | 159 | Subabul | 0.52 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (with weak branch union) and trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.70 | 10.00 | |
| 157. | 160 | Subabul | 0.72 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with canker symptoms, thereby limiting the process of |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|---------|------|-------|--|
| | | | | | healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 158. | 161 | Subabul | 0.62 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with canker symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 159. | 162 | Subabul | 0.87 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (with weak branch union) and trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.56 | 11.00 | |
| 160. | 163 | Subabul | 0.67 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is partially defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 161. | 164 | Subabul | 1.20 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (with weak branch union) and trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.70 | 8.00 | |
| 162. | 165 | Subabul | 0.83 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is partially defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 163. | 166 | Subabul | 0.64 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is with canker symptom and standing close to tree no. 167, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 164. | 167 | Subabul | 0.66 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing close to tree no. 166, thereby limiting the process of excavation and healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 165. | 168 | Subabul | 0.62 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is partially defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 166. | 169 | Subabul | 0.74 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with constricted roots due to adjacent concrete structures, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 167. | 171 | Subabul | 0.55 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is partially dried and standing close to tree no. 172, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------------|------|-------|--|
| 168. | 172 | Subabul | 0.56 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is partially dried and standing close to tree no. 171, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 169. | 173 | Subabul | 0.54 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is with constricted roots due to adjacent concrete structures, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 170. | 174 | Subabul | 0.75 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with constricted roots due to adjacent concrete structures, thereby limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 171. | 175 | Subabul | 0.53 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is partially defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 172. | 176 | Subabul | 0.61 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is dried / defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 173. | 177 | Cassia siamea | 0.51 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is dried / defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 174. | 179 | Subabul | 0.45 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with defective symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 175. | 180 | Subabul | 0.70 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is dried / defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 176. | 181 | Subabul | 0.49 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is defoliated, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 177. | 182 | Subabul | 0.57 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is defoliated and the trunk is with partial decay symptoms, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 178. | 183 | Subabul | 0.62 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree canopy is partially dried, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 179. | 184 | Jungle (Bilijali) | 1.40 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms (oozing of sap), thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-------------------|------|-------|--|
| 180. | 185 | Subabul | 0.40 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.42 | 11.00 | |
| 181. | 186 | Subabul | 0.54 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is with decay symptoms at the base collar region, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 182. | 187 | Subabul | 0.99 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The branch unions of the tree are with included bark symptoms weakening the joints, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 183. | 188 | Subabul | 0.66 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union, thereby limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.49 | 10.00 | |
| 184. | 189 | Subabul | 0.40 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 185. | 190 | Subabul | 0.40 | 7.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 186. | 191 | Subabul | 0.51 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent and defoliated, limiting the process of excavation and healthy relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 187. | 192 | Subabul | 0.63 | 13.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The branch of the tree is with defoliated symptom, limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 188. | 193 | Subabul | 0.75 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The branch of the tree is with defoliated symptom, limiting the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 189. | 194 | Jungle (Bilijali) | 1.00 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 190. | 196 | Subabul | 0.57 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing close to tree no. 197 and 198, thereby limiting the process of excavation and healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 191. | 197 | Subabul | 0.61 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing close to tree no. 196 and 198, thereby limiting the process of excavation and healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|---------------|------|-------|--|
| 192. | 198 | Subabul | 0.57 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is standing close to tree no. 196 and 197, thereby limiting the process of excavation and healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 193. | 199 | Subabul | 0.53 | 11.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 194. | 200 | Subabul | 0.57 | 12.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 195. | 201 | Subabul | 0.50 | 11.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 196. | 202 | Subabul | 0.50 | 10.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 197. | 203 | Rain tree | 1.07 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured and multiforked with weak branch union, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Rain tree | 0.34 | 12.00 | |
| | B | Rain tree | 0.90 | 4.00 | |
| 198. | 204 | Subabul | 0.50 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 199. | 205 | Subabul | 0.40 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 200. | 206 | Cassia siamea | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked with weak branch union, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Cassia siamea | 0.70 | 7.00 | |
| 201. | 207 | Subabul | 0.80 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 202. | 208 | Subabul | 0.70 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots of the tree are constricted (due to concrete structures), thereby further limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|---------|------|-------|---|
| 203. | 209 | Subabul | 0.68 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) and roots of the tree are partially exposed, thereby further limiting the prescribed root ball excavation and feasibility for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 204. | 210 | Subabul | 0.83 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 205. | 211 | Subabul | 0.53 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are constricted, thereby limiting the feasibility for healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 206. | 212 | Subabul | 0.57 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are exposed, thereby restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 207. | 213 | Subabul | 0.40 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured, forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with weak branch union and decayed trunk. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.50 | 7.00 | |
| 208. | 214 | Subabul | 0.90 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured, forked (limiting the feasibility for processing and relocation) with weak branch union and decayed branches. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.97 | 10.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 0.92 | 9.00 | |
| 209. | 215 | Subabul | 1.23 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (for excavation and relocation) and roots of the tree are exposed, thereby further restraining excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 210. | 216 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the process for excavation and relocation) and the branches are partially defoliated, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 211. | 217 | Subabul | 0.94 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.90 | 12.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 0.78 | 10.00 | |
| | C | Subabul | 0.60 | 11.00 | |
| | D | Subabul | 0.70 | 11.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----------|------|-------|--|
| 212. | 218 | Subabul | 0.82 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.75 | 11.00 | |
| | B | Subabul | 0.50 | 9.00 | |
| 213. | 219 | Rain tree | 2.26 | 12.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is matured (limiting the process of excavation) with aggregates of bark (at 1m height from the base); thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 214. | 220 | Honge | 0.75 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base / collar region of the tree is damaged, thereby preventing the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 215. | 221 | Honge | 0.80 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Honge | 0.35 | 8.00 | |
| | B | Honge | 0.30 | 8.00 | |
| 216. | 222 | Honge | 0.74 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Honge | 0.50 | 5.00 | |
| 217. | 223 | Honge | 0.50 | 5.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Honge | 0.50 | 4.00 | |
| | B | Honge | 0.50 | 5.00 | |
| 218. | 224 | Honge | 0.86 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) and with decay prone knots. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 219. | 225 | Rain tree | 1.50 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The base / collar zone of the tree is exposed along with the partial surface roots, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 220. | 226 | Honge | 0.50 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) and with decay symptoms. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 221. | 227 | Honge | 0.65 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is multiforked (limiting the process of excavation) with weak branch union and decay prone knots, thereby further restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Honge | 0.70 | 6.00 | |
| | B | Honge | 0.60 | 6.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|-----|-----------------|------|-------|--|
| 222. | 228 | Subabul | 0.73 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is defoliated / partially dried, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 223. | 229 | Subabul | 0.70 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is defoliated / partially dried, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 224. | 230 | Rain tree | 1.54 | 11.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The roots of the tree are constricted (probability) due to the concrete structures within the limits of tree protection zone, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 225. | 231 | Nilgiri | 1.34 | 10.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is forked and roots of the tree are constricted (probability) due to the concrete structures within the limits of tree protection zone, thereby limiting excavation of prescribed root ball and the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Nilgiri | 1.00 | 9.00 | |
| 226. | 232 | Subabul | 0.50 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) and with defoliation symptoms. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 227. | 233 | Jungle tree | 0.80 | 10.00 | The tree is felled, and hence categorised under felling. |
| 228. | 234 | Subabul | 0.50 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is forked (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) with weak branch union, further limit the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.30 | 2.00 | |
| 229. | 235 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) and with defoliation symptoms. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 230. | 236 | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The tree is bent (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) and with defoliation symptoms. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 231. | 237 | Jungle (Acacia) | 0.60 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is with canker symptom, thereby limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 232. | 238 | Subabul | 0.60 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is forked (limiting the feasibility for processing for relocation) with weak branch union, further limit the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| | A | Subabul | 0.60 | 8.00 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|-------|------------------------|------|------|---|
| 233. | 239 | Jungle tree (Bilijali) | 1.00 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is with weak branch union prone for defects in consequence to transplanted stress, thereby limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 234. | 240 | Jungle tree (Bilijali) | 1.20 | 9.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is standing close to tree no. 241, limiting the feasibility for excavation for relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 235. | 241 | Neem | 0.62 | 6.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is standing close (limiting the feasibility for excavation for relocation) to tree no. 242 and the canopy is with dieback symptom, thereby further limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 236. | 242 | Neem | 0.78 | 8.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS) entry / exit. The tree is standing close (limiting the feasibility for excavation for relocation) to tree no. 241 and the canopy is with dieback symptom, thereby further limiting the feasibility of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 237. | UN 01 | Subabul | 0.60 | 4.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for viaduct portal piers (FP-03, FP-04, and FP-05) (RHS). The tree is topped. The tree is recommended for felling. |
| 238. | UN 04 | Subabul | 0.35 | 5.00 | The tree is standing in the project area proposed for Metro Station (RHS). The trunk of the tree is partially decayed, thereby restraining the process of healthy tree relocation. The tree is recommended for felling. |

Total trees for Felling = 238 Nos..


Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore